IWPR #R433 www.statusofwomendata.org

The Status of Women in Michigan, 2015: Highlights

Women in Michigan have made considerable advances in recent years but still face inequities that often prevent them from reaching their full potential. Since the 2004 Status of Women in the States report was published, the gender wage gap in Michigan has narrowed, a higher percentage of women have bachelor's degrees, and women are more likely to work in managerial or professional occupations. Yet, as in all other states, women in Michigan are less likely than men to be in the labor force and more likely to live in poverty. Women also continue to be underrepresented in the state legislature.



If current trends continue, women in Michigan

will not see equal pay until the year 2086.*



Women hold **20.9**%

of seats in the state legislature.

Key Findings

- Michigan's best grade is in the area of political participation, for which it receives a C. Its worst grade is in work and family, for which it gets a D-.
- Michigan women who work full-time, year-round earn 77 cents on the dollar compared with similarly employed men.
- Approximately 26.5 percent of those working in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) fields in Michigan are women, compared with 28.8 percent nationwide.
- As of 2015, there are no women of color in statewide elective executive office in Michigan, and only one woman of color from the state in the U.S. Congress.

Michigan

Report Card Summary Political Participation C-**Employment & Earnings** Work & Family D-Poverty & Opportunity C-Reproductive Rights D Health & Well-Being D+

- Women in Michigan who are unionized earn \$219 more per week, on average, than those who are not represented by a union.
- Approximately 26.9 percent of women in Michigan have a bachelor's degree or higher, an increase of about 7 percentage points since 2000.
- In 2012-2013, 35.5 percent of Michigan's four-year-olds were enrolled in state pre-K, preschool special education, or state and federal Head Start.
- Heart disease is the biggest killer of women in the United States. Michigan ranks 42 of 51 with a mortality rate of 160.4 per 100,000.

^{*}Equal pay projection is based on rate of progress between 1959 and 2013. See Appendix A2 of The Status of Women in the States: 2015 for sources and methodology. Calculated by the Institute for Women's Policy Research.

Table 1. How Michigan Ranks on K	ey Indio	cators o	f Women	's Statu	S		
				2015 Rank	ings and G	nd Grades	
	2004 Report	2015 Report	Progress?	National Rank	Regional Rank	Grade	
Political Participation Composite Rank	2	15	No	15	2	С	
Percent of Women Registered to Vote	71.9%	73.0%	Yes	9	2		
Percent of Women Who Voted	56.3%	55.7%	No	19	2		
Women in Elected Office Index (score)	3.61	2.01	No	26	4		
Women's Institutional Resources Index (score)	1.25	1.50	Yes	11	1		
Employment & Earnings Composite Rank	33	36	No	36	4	C-	
Women's Median Annual Earnings for Full-Time, Year-Round Workers	\$39,432	\$37,000	No	21	2		
Ratio of Women's to Men's Earnings	67.1%	77.1%	Yes	33	3		
Women's Labor Force Participation	58.9%	57.6%	No	37	5		
Percent of All Employed Women in Managerial/Professional Occupations	31.6%	36.7%	Yes	44	4		
Work & Family Composite Rank	n/a	44	n/a	44	4	D-	
Paid Leave Legislation Index (score)	n/a	0.00	n/a	12	_		
	·	-,		12	5		
Elder and Dependent Care Index (score)	n/a	0.00	n/a	49	4		
Elder and Dependent Care Index (score) Child Care Index (score)			n/a n/a				
	n/a	0.00		49	4		
Child Care Index (score) Percentage Point Gap in Parents' Labor Force Participation Rate (fathers' labor force participation rate minus mothers' labor force	n/a n/a	0.00	n/a	49 25	4 3	C-	
Child Care Index (score) Percentage Point Gap in Parents' Labor Force Participation Rate (fathers' labor force participation rate minus mothers' labor force participation rate)	n/a n/a n/a	0.00	n/a n/a	49 25 17	3	C-	
Child Care Index (score) Percentage Point Gap in Parents' Labor Force Participation Rate (fathers' labor force participation rate minus mothers' labor force participation rate) Poverty & Opportunity Composite Rank Percent of Nonelderly Women with Health	n/a n/a n/a	0.00 1.23 24.0	n/a n/a No	49 25 17 26	3 3	C-	
Child Care Index (score) Percentage Point Gap in Parents' Labor Force Participation Rate (fathers' labor force participation rate minus mothers' labor force participation rate) Poverty & Opportunity Composite Rank Percent of Nonelderly Women with Health Insurance Percent of Women with a Bachelor's Degree or	n/a n/a n/a 25 86.5%	0.00 1.23 24.0 26 85.8%	n/a n/a No No	49 25 17 26 18	3 3 3	C-	
Child Care Index (score) Percentage Point Gap in Parents' Labor Force Participation Rate (fathers' labor force participation rate minus mothers' labor force participation rate) Poverty & Opportunity Composite Rank Percent of Nonelderly Women with Health Insurance Percent of Women with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher	n/a n/a n/a 25 86.5% 20.2%	0.00 1.23 24.0 26 85.8% 26.9%	n/a n/a No No Yes	49 25 17 26 18	3 3 3	C-	
Child Care Index (score) Percentage Point Gap in Parents' Labor Force Participation Rate (fathers' labor force participation rate minus mothers' labor force participation rate) Poverty & Opportunity Composite Rank Percent of Nonelderly Women with Health Insurance Percent of Women with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher Percent of Businesses Owned by Women	n/a n/a n/a 25 86.5% 20.2% 27.2%	0.00 1.23 24.0 26 85.8% 26.9% 30.4%	n/a n/a No No Yes Yes	49 25 17 26 18 33 7	3 3 3 3	C-	

Notes: See Appendices A1–A6 in *The Status of Women in the States*: 2015 for methodology and sources. Earnings are in 2013 dollars and compare 2000 Decennial Census data with 2013 American Community Survey data. Health insurance data are for 2013, prior to the full implementation of the Affordable Care Act. The regional rankings are of a maximum of five and refer to the states in East North Central region (Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, and Wisconsin). n/a=not available. All indicators are defined so that a higher rate translates into higher rankings and letter grades. **Calculated by the Institute for Women's Policy Research.**

Table 2. Overview of the S	tatus of '	Women o	f Color i	n Michig	an	
	White	Hispanic	Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Other Race or Two or More Races
Political Participation						
Number of Women in Statewide Elected Executive Office, 2015 (out of 4 offices)	1	О	O	О	О	О
Number of Women in U.S. Congress, 2015 (out of 16 offices)	3	О	1	О	О	О
Employment & Earnings						
Women's Median Annual Earnings (Full-Time, Year-Round), 2011–2013	\$38,000	\$29,222	\$34,176	\$47,000	\$31,353	\$35,000
Ratio of Women's to White Men's Earnings, 2011–2013	74.9%	57.6%	67.4%	92.6%	61.8%	69.0%
Women's Labor Force Participation, 2011–2013	57.1%	62.7%	58.1%	52.9%	54.0%	59.4%
Percent of All Employed Women in Managerial or Professional Occupations, 2011–2013	38.2%	24.3%	28.1%	53.1%	34.4%	29.0%
Poverty & Opportunity						
Percent of Nonelderly Women with Health Insurance, 2011–2013	87.0%	74.6%	80.3%	85.4%	78.3%	81.8%
Percent of Women with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher, 2011–2013	27.1%	16.5%	18.6%	56.4%	14.2%	25.9%
Percent of Women Above Poverty, 2011–2013	87.0%	72.6%	68.2%	85.7%	76.6%	71.5%
Reproductive Rights						
Infant Mortality Rate (deaths of infants under age one per 1,000 live births), 2010–2012	5.2	5.6	13.6	4.0	12.2	n/a
Percent of Low Birth Weight Babies, 2013	7.0	7.2	13.1	n/a	n/a	n/a
Health & Well-Being						
Female Heart Disease Mortality Rate, per 100,000, 2011–2013	152.3	110.7	226.0	67.5	167.5	n/a
Female Lung Cancer Mortality Rate, per 100,000, 2011–2013	41.6	16.2	43.6	19.9	61.6	n/a
Female Breast Cancer Mortality Rate, per 100,000, 2011–2013	21.2	17.0	30.6	10.1	n/a	n/a
Average Number of Days per Month on Which Mental Health is Not Good, 2011–2013	4.5	6.3	4.9	1.5	6.0	7.3
Average Number of Days per Month on Which Activities Are Limited by Health Status, 2011–2013	4.6	5.3	6.1	1.8	7.1	6.0

Notes: n/a=not available. Data on statewide elected executive office include the governorship. Earnings are in 2013 dollars. Health insurance data are for 2013, prior to the full implementation of the Affordable Care Act. See Appendices A1–A2 and A4–A6 of *The Status of Women in the States: 2015* for sources and a description of how race and ethnicity are defined in the data presented here. **Calculated by the Institute for Women's Policy Research.**

Table 3. Michigan State Laws	
Provide the Right to Earn Paid Sick Days	No
Adopted the Medicaid Expansion under the ACA or State Medicaid Family Planning Services Eligibility Expansion	Yes
Recognize Same-Sex Marriage and/or Allow Second-Parent Adoption	No
Allow Women to Obtain an Abortion without a Mandatory Waiting Period	No
Bar Gun Possession for Individuals Convicted of Misdemeanor Domestic Violence Crimes	No

Notes: Data are current as of April 2015, except for the bar on gun possession, which is current as of June 2014. See Appendices A3, A5, and A7 of *The Status of Women in the States*: 2015 for sources.

Compiled by the Institute for Women's Policy Research.

Table 4. Basic Demographic Statistics for Michigan	
Total Population, 2013	9,895,622
Number of Women and Girls, All Ages, 2013	5,038,988
Proportion of Women Aged 65 and Older, 2013	16.5%
Proportion of Women Who Are Immigrants, All Ages, 2013	6.2%
Number of Female Same-Sex Partner Households, 2011–2013	9,569
Percent of All Households Headed by Single Mothers with Children Under Age 18, 2013	7.3%

Note: See Appendix B8 in *The Status of Women in the States: 2015* for additional demographic information. Sources: IWPR analysis of American Community Survey microdata.

About the Status of Women in the States



The Status of Women in the States is an ongoing research project conducted by the Institute for Women's Policy Research (IWPR) to measure and track the status of women in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. IWPR appreciates the support of the Ford Foundation, the American Federation of Teachers, and the Women's Funding Network for the production of this fact sheet.



The Institute for Women's Policy Research (IWPR) conducts rigorous research and disseminates its findings to address the needs of women, promote public dialogue, and strengthen families, communities, and societies. The Institute's research strives to give voice to the needs of

women from diverse ethnic and racial backgrounds across the income spectrum and to ensure that their perspectives enter the public debate on ending discrimination and inequality, improving opportunity, and increasing economic security for women and families. The Institute works with policymakers, scholars, and public interest groups to design, execute, and disseminate research and to build a diverse network of individuals and organizations that conduct and use women-oriented policy research. IWPR's work is supported by foundation grants, government grants and contracts, donations from individuals, and contributions from organizations and corporations. IWPR is a 501(c)(3) tax-exempt organization that also works in affiliation with the women's studies and public policy and public administration programs at The George Washington University.